



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/046,700	01/17/2002	Shing Young Lee	P67555US0	3601

136 7590 02/10/2005
JACOBSON HOLMAN PLLC
400 SEVENTH STREET N.W.
SUITE 600
WASHINGTON, DC 20004

EXAMINER

BURGESS, BARBARA N

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2157

DATE MAILED: 02/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/046,700

Applicant(s)

LEE, SHING YOUNG

Examiner

Barbara N Burgess

Art Unit

2157

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 January 2002.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-4, 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Modeste et al. (hereinafter "Modeste", US 2003/0056012 A1).

As per claim 1, Modeste discloses a remote control method for controlling electrical appliances via home gateway comprising the act of:

- Preparing a main board to selectively connect to an INTERNET (paragraphs [0004, 0034, 0036, 0042]);
- Dialing a phone call to the main board to initiate the main board (paragraphs [0006, 0037, 0040]);
- The main board connecting to the INTERNET (paragraphs [0003, 0006, 0034, 0039]);
- Acquiring a pre-registered IP address from a DNS server (paragraphs [0004, 0034, 0049, 0084]); and
- Using a tool accessible to the IP address for communicating with electrical

Art Unit: 2157

appliances, wherein a data confirmation step is added before the step of the main board connecting to the INTERNET so as to confirm the data input to the main board to initiate the main board (paragraphs [0037, 0051, 0070-0071, 0074]).

As per claim 2, Modeste discloses the remote control method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the main board has a controller with two ports (paragraphs [0036, 0042-0046]).

As per claim 3, Modeste discloses the remote control method as claimed in claim 2, wherein one of the ports is a hub (paragraphs [0036, 0040-0042]).

As per claim 4, Modeste discloses the remote control method as claimed in claim 3, wherein the other one of the ports is a WAN port (paragraphs [0034]).

As per claim 6, Modeste discloses the remote control method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the main board further has a modem connecting port (paragraphs [0034, 0036, 0038]).

As per claim 7, Modeste discloses the remote control method as claimed in claim 6, wherein the main board further has a modem connecting port (paragraphs [0034, 0036, 0038]).

Art Unit: 2157

As per claim 8, Modeste discloses the remote control method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the tool includes PC, PDA, GSM mobile phone, WAP mobile phone, GPRS phone or a Webpad (paragraphs [0004]).

As per claim 9, Modeste discloses the remote control method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the main board further has a power line modem (paragraphs [0034, 0036, 0038]).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Modeste et al. (hereinafter "Modeste", US 2003/0056012 A1) in view of Hayes et al. (hereinafter "Hayes", US 6,480,586 B1).

As per claim 5, Modeste discloses the remote control method as claimed in claim 1. Modeste does not explicitly disclose wherein the main board further has a fax server so as to transmit and receive information. However, in an analogous art, Hayes discloses

Art Unit: 2157

connecting the appliance server to both the caller ID modem and to data/fax modem (column 11, lines 30-40).

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to implement or incorporate Hayes's fax server in Modeste's method in order to receive data via fax.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US 2004/0047358 A1

US 2002/0095269 A1


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Barbara N Burgess whose telephone number is (571) 272-3996. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00am-4:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Ettinene can be reached on (571) 272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2157

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Barbara N Burgess
Examiner
Art Unit 2157


ARLETTE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100